Deep Energy Retrofits Full Value Proposition

NESEA, BE-14

March 5, 2014

Henry MacLean, AIA, LEED AP Timeless Architecture, Milton MA

Timearch.com

Deep Energy Retrofits: Full Value Proposition, NESEA BE-14

NESEA is a registered provider with the American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems. Credit earned on completion of this program will be reported to CES Records for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for non-AIA members will be mailed at the completion of the conference.

This program is registered with the AIA/CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product. Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.

Copyright Materials

This presentation is protected by US and International copyright laws. Reproduction, distribution, display and use of this presentation without written permission of the speaker is prohibited.

© Copyright 2014 Timeless Architecture.



Our current energy flows leave us with 55% in lost energy.

> US Energy Sources & Uses, DOE



Energy consumption in the U.S. economy, 2010–2050



The new challenge is to forecast the wedges to get us to 2050. <u>Reinventing Fire</u> Amory Lovins, RMI 4

Three Numbers From Hanson & Mckibben

#1. 2 ° Centigrade of Warming

Countries producing 87% of carbon emissions endorse the 2° target. We are now 80% of the way there.

#2. 565 Billion tons of C02

We can burn 565 more Gigatons of CO2 and stay below 2°C of warming. Any more risks catastrophe for life on earth.

#3. 2,795 Billion tons of C02

There are 2,795 Gigatons in Fossil Fuel reserves, 5 X safe limit. (80% of 2,765 GT is \$ 20 trillion stranded assets for fossil fuels)







conspiring? conspire verb To make secret plans jointly to commit an unlawful or harmful act.

Who is

Our building Community is engaged in 41% of the problem, & potential solutions!

Peter Calthorpe, Urbanism in the age of Climate Change http://www.calthorpe.com/publications/urbanism-age-climate-change

	Copyrighted Material
buildings	comparing Ouseholds
Typical subdivision single-tamily home with three cars averaging 20 MPG driving 31,000 miles a year. SUBURBAN	237 162
30 percent more energy-efficient single- family home with three cars averaging 30 MPG. GREEN SUBURBAN	158 113
Townhome with two cars driving 15,500 Vehicle Mies Traveled (VMT)/year. COMPACT	119 126
Energy-efficient townhome with two cars averaging 30 MPG. GREEN COMPACT 79	88
Condo with one car averaging 20 MPG driving 10,000 miles a year. URBAN	In Million British Thermal Units (MBTU)/year Transportation carbon includes oil refining as well as vehicle consumption.
Energy-efficient condo with one car averaging 30 MPG. GREEN URBAN	In MBTU/year The household building energy numbers account for source (or input) energy. All figures represent national averages.

The 2000-Watt Society



The average person on the planet uses about 17,500 kWh of energy a year for all his or her needs, which is 59.7 MBTU, or a continuous use of 2,000 watts. In Switzerland the figure is three times higher, or 6,000 watts, 180 MBTU, and in the US people use closer to 12,000 watts, or 360 MBTU. The 2000 Watt Society recommends that Americans drop their use by close to 84%, from 12 to 2,000, which is roughly in line with what is now recommended by government, an 80% reduction in carbon by 2050.

Energy Benchmarks for 2,400 SF Home in Boston Area

Type Home	KBTU /sf/yr	MM/BTU/yr	Energy costs/yr	HERS score
Average Existing	70	168	+/- \$5,000	130
House Built to Code	54	130	+/- \$4,000	100
Energy Star Home	45	108	+/- \$3,000	75
Deep Energy Retrofit (DE	R) 17	41	+/- \$1,300	46
DER w/ Solar	8.5	21	+/- \$950	25
Passive House	7.4	17	+/- \$ 800	35
Net Zero Energy Home	0	0	\$ 0	0
Energy Plus Home	- ??	- ??	+ \$	- ??











A transformation of a 1905 bungalow to a 4 story, state-of-the-art 21st century home operating with a carbon footprint of 15% of the average home in the Boston area.





Respecting the scale and context of the neighborhood, the Owners are engaged with their community and showcasing green design.





Historic Neighbor

Looking South past Quincy House to South face of new Quincy DER



Josiah Quincy House A National Historic Landmark

Created by Revolutionary War leader Colonel Josiah Quincy this home was built as a country estate in 1770. The Quincy House was originally surrounded by fields and pasture overlooking Quincy Bay. The family produced three mayors of Boston and a president of Harvard.









Proposed Cutaway from Southeast

Proposed Cutaway from Northeast



National Grid Deep Energy Retrofit Pilot Program

Home is producing close to 60% of its annual fuel needs with Solar Electric and Solar Thermal panels. With combined focus on energy efficiency & renewables project received a (HERS) of 25 from Conservation Services Group.

Owners also participated in the National ACI 1000 Homes Challenge, from July 2011 thru July 2012.

Threshold established for this particular home was 11,007 kWh a year for all energy needs, adjusted to 11,522 kWh at the end of the program, equal to 8,564 BTU/ SF/YR, or 1.39 BTU/ SF/DD/ YR.





The team includes G.C. Grifcon Construction, Building Science Corp. (Building Science & DER Specialists), Conservation Services Group (Energy Performance and Verification), Ondrick Engineering (Structural), Drew Gillette (Mechanical Engineering), Alteris/Real Goods Solar, (Solar Systems), Boston Green Building (DER Consulting), with Solar Wave Inc. and Powerhouse Dynamics providing ongoing monitoring, with Timeless Architecture and National Grid DER team.

Taylor and Bailey Framers (framing, DER skin and finish work) Anderson Insulation, Jordan Woodworking (cabinetry), DL Services (HVAC and Plumbing), AMC Electric (Electrical), Yale Appliances (Appliances and Lighting), Ferguson Plumbing (Plumbing Fixtures), Jackson Lumber (Windows and Doors), SRW Supply, and Bradco Inc. Additional Mechanical review and maintenance w/ Country Comfort Cooling and Heating.

Building Shell 762 CFM 50 or 1.26ACH from 5500 CFM 50 or 18.5 ACH

• Attic, Roof: R-60 10"Icynene w/ 4" Rigid Polyiso Foam added to exterior

• Walls: R-40 4" Rigid Polyiso Foam added to exterior, Grace Tri-Flex 30 on Roof over Typar fully taped, 2 layers interwoven for no thermal breaks

• Windows: R-5 (U 0.2 -.26) Paradigm triple glazed, low E, krypton blend or argon filled, SHGC =.23-

• **Basement/ Foundation:** 2" closed cell over sill and foundation: 3.5" fiberglass in framing, poly vapor retarder under new insulated radiant conc. slab.

Gray tone indicat ing structure http:// www.buildings cience.com/ documents/ case-studies







Basement floor done in 2 pours opposite corners in maintain integrity of Stone foundation. By far longest section of project was reworking the existing basement and 2 floors of existing structure/ framing.











Original Basement Plan



New Basement Plan







Cables for an IBM'er



Original First Floor



Carlos

New First Floor



Deep Energy Retrofits: Full Value Proposition, NESEA BE-14



Original Second Floor



New Second Floor

Deep Energy Retrofits: Full Value Proposition, NESEA BE-14

Master Deck

5-2







Section Looking South Εį. 124 12 4-90 1/2* 1.45 ଡ 6145 Framed Opening -1 Dot New Disclate from Gear Double 2 x 80 Disck thru Dold Disching to New Structure. Hang 2nd Fir 1 34 s 0.5° LVL Stringers on 3-1 3M x 0.5° UVL x , Rush Ramed 8 Ath Hango's 7.2 MP 841 1.3.34 0 d Opaning * At tanz 8 99000000 100 Figure No Titol -C 1 Ð 취형 Ε \$ 4 24 471 immed Opening 107 BF 벌 drin of 4 Harg 2x8 FX 136 8.2 105 25 (spin) 012 25 528 368 0.4.10 17 Tanute (2.2 1/2" on





Project team constructed a wall section mock-up that demonstrated window flashing, air sealing, and attachment of exterior insulation, providing opportunities to resolve unique installation questions and serve as an effective communication tool.







April 2010 through February 2011











South Elevation





Mechanical Systems

• Heating system:

96% eff Phoenix Evolution Versa Hydro integrating solar & gas heating, radiant slab & hydro air

• Cooling: Carrier Infinity Air to Air Heat Pumps, SEER 16.5 COP 4.08 @ 47*F, 2.80@17*F

• Ventilation:

Lifebreath HRV, 88% efficient ducted to central AHU in Attic

DHW: Phoenix Evolution Versa-Hydro[™] direct-fired storage water heater with heat exchanger for input from 6 Velux integrated solar thermal collector panels.

• Lighting: Compact flourescent or better throughout

• **Appliances:** ENERGY STAR dishwasher frig. & cloths washer.

• Site Generated Power 6.25 KW Solar PV w/ Sun Run Residential PPA w/ Sunrun (210 W/ panel)



This is a tri-fuel system, solar thermal, air to air heat pump & gas fuel driven by the outside temperature which maxes out the hot water being produced by solar thermal panels before using natural gas.







In solar thermal mode, the gas is locked out. If the solar sensor is not hot (no solar heating) then the heat pump should be running with the gas locked out down to 36*. On a call for heat: if the solar sensor up on the panels is hot then all heating should be done with the solar regardless of the outside temperature.





The max heat output of that Carrier heat pump is 37,800 BTU/Hr. We track outside temperature to know when the house needs more heat than the heat pump can put out. This is what we call the balance point of the Heat Pump, below which point all heating is done by gas.





DIRECT CONNECTION of the SUPPLY AIR STREAM to the FURNACE COLD AIR RETURN (Stale air drawn from key areas of home)



Deep Energy Retrofits: Full Value Proposition, NESEA BE-14

During measurement and verification testing, BSC confirmed that the HRV was ducted to the central forced-air system in a way that would allow air to be drawn through the ventilation unit when the ventilation system is not running.

Schematics from Lifebreath HRV

Replace Stale Indoor Air With Fresh Outdoor Air -



weatherdatadepot

Weather Data Depot – your source for free heating degree day and cooling degree day reports and charts! Degree days indicate weather severity as it affects building energy usage, so degree day comparisons are useful for energy management, energy efficiency and utility bill tracking.



Data taken from last 5 year average degree days from Quincy, MA weather station logged in by weather data depot. <u>http://www.weatherdatadepot.com/#</u>

1000 Home Challenge ACI Affordable Comfort

In order to meet the 1 year monitored threshold set by National Grid, of 11,007 total kWh a year determined by the 1000 Home Challenge Program, we worked with the clients to set a monthly average target cap for energy use.

Energy Breakdown (in kWh/year)

Total				Option B	Ontion B	Ontion B		· · ·	
TOLAT	Historical	Base	Option A	(Elec)	(Fuel)	(Blend)	Predicted	Post	
Total Energy	0	34,932	8,733	9,649	13,723	11,007	10,213	0	
Fuel									
Electricity	0	12,570	3,143	9,649	5,576	8,291	5,700	0	
Gas/fossil/wood	0	22,362	5,591	0	8,147	2,716	4,513	0	
Component									
Heating	22,358			4,074	8,147	5,432			
Cooling	228			365	365	365			
Hot Water	2,394			2,481	2,481	2,481			
All Else	2,394			2,730	2,730	2,730			





Graph: Thomas Hall & Matthew Shrago







Powerhouse Dynamics Solar PV Monitoring is doing ongoing monitoring on the project, which the Owners have set up circuit by circuit. The Owners are also working w/ the SunRun Home Solar program which has them locked in at \$11.a kWh for 20 years, w/ \$1K, paid back in 1st 48 months.







Thomas Hall Owner & Henry Vandermark, Solar Wave Inc

Velux & resol monitors for solar thermal



Small natural gas meter on Versa Hydro for breaking out gas from solar therms



Solar Wave Inc Data on Project for more info

http://sol.lan.solarwave.net/quincyHouseDev/quincyEconomics.php



Energy Modeling and Performance 2011-12

The Quincy home total operating energy load as estimated and modeled by Conservation Services Group (based on the building shell & mechanical systems specified) was 23,351 kWh a year, or 79.7 MMBTU, which for this 3,560 SF home is 22,380 BTU/SF/YR.

The house received a HERS (Home Energy Rating) of 25 from CSG as well as a leakage rate of 762 CFM50 or 1.26 ACH50 by Building Science Corp, w/ 85% infiltration air leakage reduction from 5050 50CFM and 18.5 ACH50.

For the true up process required to meet the THC, we determined a 37% electric to 63% gas split for space heating (1,119 kWh elec. & 3,044 kWh gas). Fossil fuel energy use for 2011-12 is at 8,564 BTU/ SF/YR, or 1.39 KBTU/ SF/DD/ YR.

THC Annual True-Up Process

As part of true-up process for the 1000 Home Challenge, the team was required to verify the electric vs. gas with respect to space heating, set at 50% each as a default. The read out of 1,925 kWh for the gas was provided as part of the Solar Wave online thermal read out. To determine the electrical space heating, we created the chart below in which we listed all the electricity for the year to run the condenser unit, air handler and phoenix boiler from the e-monitor site. We then interpolated for heating vs cooling degree days and isolated out the cooling by pro rating the loads by the heating and cooling degree day split. This gave us 1,118 kWh / YR for the electrical space heating & a total of 3,044 kWh for both, with a new 37-63% electrical to gas heating split. Plugging this number into option B, our annual THC threshold was increased from 11,007 to 11,522 kWh.

	L	М	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U	۷	W	Х	Y	
-							En incoment					T- 4-1 111/A	0		
-		HVAC Equipm	ient				Environment	al predicted u	sage (neating	vs. cooling as	%)	Total HVA	C equipme	nt	
-					Total of							electricity	usage		
-				Air	all HVAC		HDD	CDD	Total	% of					
		Condenser	Phoenix	Handler	equipment		Average of	Average of	Degree	DD used for					
		kWh used	kWh used	kWh used	kWh used		previous	previous	Days	heating		used for	used for		
		per month	per month	per month	per month		5 years	5 years	(heat + cool)	HDD/Total DD		space	cooling		
	Jan-11		4									heating			
	Feb-11	9	37									kWh	kWh		
	Mar-11	21	36												
	Apr-11	61	19												
	May-11	152	14	77											
	Jun-11	284	9	64											
	THC Start	Condenser	Phoenix	Airhandler	Total		HDD	CDD	Total DD						
	Jul-11	496	8	80	584		3	307	310	1%		5	579	Jul	
	Aug-11	387	8	48	444		5	233	238	2%		9	435	Aug	
	Sep-11	252	8	34	294		60	100	160	38%		110	184	Sep	
	Oct-11	85	10	45	140		316	11	327	97%		136	5	Oct	
	Nov-11	32	12	12	56		572	-	572	100%		56	0	Nov	
	Dec-11	82	13	6	100		830	-	830	100%		100	0	Dec	
	Jan-12	168	17	10	195		1,089	-	1,089	100%		195	0	Jan	
	Feb-12	104	15	6	125		950	-	950	100%		125	0	Feb	
	Mar-12	63	11	8	82		792	-	792	100%		82	0	Mar	
	Apr-12	49	8	5	62		461	6	467	99%		62	1	Apr	
	May-12	149	9	18	176		191	47	238	80%		141	35	May	
	Jun-12	339	8	45	392		47	142	189	25%		98	294	Jun	
	THC End														
	Sum for THC	2,205	128	318	2,650		5,317	845	6,162			1,118	1,532	2,650	
												42%	58%		

Energy Modeling and Performance 2011-12

The house produced & consumed	8,531 kWh /from PV
(58.9% solar)	<u>4,296 kWh from ST/YR.</u>
	12,827 kWh Total Solar Production

The house bought & consumed

7,764 kWh (natural gas) <u>1,172 kWh</u> (elec. from grid) 8,936 kWh/YR,

Fossil fuel energy use for 2011-12 22.4% under the 11,522 threshold. 8,564 BTU/ SF/YR

This is 87.78 % below typ. 70 KBTU/SF/YR home in Boston!! (8,564/ 70,000 = 12.23%)

Total load of 21,763 kWh and 93.2% of the 23,351 kWh (79.7 MMBTU) CSG model. Comparing Degree Days for the monitoring period, we were at 81.5% of the average last 5 years, indicating that even with a warm winter, and the proportional 77.6% of the threshold set, the DER in Wollaston still beat the challenge.

Energy Modeling and Performance 2012-13

The house produced & consumed	8,306 kWh /from PV (down 2.5%)
(16.70/c color)	<u>4,146 kWh from ST/YR.</u> (dn. 3.6%)
(40.7/0 Solal)	12,452 kWh Total Solar Production

The house bought & consumed

10,228 kWh (natural gas) 3,982 kWh (elec. from grid) 14,210 kWh/YR,

Fossil fuel energy use for 2012-13 was 23.4% over the 11,522 THC (14,210 x 3412) / = 13,619 BTU/ SF/YR

This is still 80.6% below typ. 70 KBTU/SF/YR home in Boston!! (13,619/ 70,000 = 19.4%)

Total load of 26,662 kWh is 14.8% over the 23,351 kWh (79.7 MMBTU) CSG model. Comparing DD for the monitoring period, we were at 94% of the average last 5 years, indicating that even with the slightly warmer winter, the 23.5% increase over the challenge would be a bit further.

2011-12	PV Solar	Solar Therm al	A. Solar Total	Elec. from Nat. Grid	Gas from Nat. Grid	B. Fossil Fuel Total	A-B	Running Tally For THC	Average Fossil Fuel Use / Mo	Total DD in 2011- 2012 bal 65*	
July	879	491	1,370	90	264	354	1,016	1,016	744.66	389	
August	849	434	1,283	148	234	382	901	1,917	744.66	186	
Sept	730	359	1,089	93	264	357	732	2,649	744.66	139	
October	671	318	989	65	352	417	572	3,221	744.66	333	
Nov	574	290	864	178	703	881	-17	3,204	744.66	492	
Dec	422	164	586	491	996	1,487	-901	2,303	744.66	804	
Jan	532	191	723	340	1,377	1,717	-994	1,309	744.66	965	
Feb	674	268	942	1	1,201	1,202	-260	1,049	744.66	838	
Mar	744	395	1,139	-68	1,143	1,075	64	1,113	744.66	617	
April	920	517	1,437	-239	557	318	1,119	2,232	744.66	419	
May	714	399	1,113	-28	439	411	702	2,934	744.66	193	
June	804	470	1,274	101	234	335	937	3,873	744.66	185	
Totals to date	8,513	4,296	12,809	1,172	7,764	8,936	3,873			5,560	
			Reference	http://ww	w.weathe	rdatadepot	t.com/#				

2012-13	PV Solar	Solar Therm al	A. Solar Total	Elec. from Nat. Grid	Gas from Nat. Grid	B. Fossil Fuel Total	А-В	Running Tally For THC	Average Fossil Fuel Use / Mo	Total DD in 2012- 2013 bal 62*	
July	872	528	1,400	556	176	732	668	668	1,184.17	305	
August	928	556	1,484	576	176	752	732	1,400	1,184.17	301	
Sept	762	410	1,172	377	234	611	561	1,961	1,184.17	144	
October	587	267	854	253	586	839	15	1,976	1,184.17	270	
Nov	548	223	771	347	879	1,226	-455	1,521	1,184.17	677	
Dec	300	99	399	979	879	1,858	-1,459	62	1,184.17	817	
Jan	518	152	670	766	2,256	3,022	-2,352	-2,290	1,184.17	1030	
Feb	490	169	659	316	2,022	2,338	-1,679	-3,969	1,184.17	942	
Mar	687	249	936	106	1,729	1,835	-899	-4,868	1,184.17	839	
April	930	527	1,457	-275	762	487	970	-3,898	1,184.17	475	
May	874	527	1,401	-139	294	155	1246	-2,652	1,184.17	259	
June	810	439	1,249	120	235	355	894	-1,758	1,184.17	196	
Totals to date	8,306	4,146	12,452	3,982	10,228	14,210	-1,758		14,210	6,255	
		Referen	ce <u>http://w</u>	ww.weath	nerdatade	pot.com/#	12.5% In	crease on 2	012-13 over	2011-12	

The existing 6.25 KW SunTech system on the house now is producing 8,500 kWh a year for the 30 panels, which is 283.3 KW/ per panel per year, each showing a max power of 210 Watt.

To make this a NZE we would need to jump up the system 2,878 kWh, or (2,878 / 30) 96 kWh/ year for each panel, or a replacement system for this PPA when ready to increase each panel up 34% to 478/kWh a year.

An alternate plan would be to add more PV elsewhere on the site, possibly on the garage, or further reduce the load.

Lessons Learned from Mechanical Engineer Drew Gillett Overall Energy Performance

Positive, To Repeat

- 1. Replicate r 60 40 20 5 0.1 ACH/50 works just do it
- 2. Dedicated smart involved homeowners are priceless, strive to find and work with them.
- 3. Incentive programs work but the major benefit especially of those based on performance is the continuing feedback and commissioning over time with the project team.
- 4. Having a good DER coordinator (the Architect in this case) is a critical part of what becomes a complex project team and coordinated effort.

Negative, Changes to make

- 1. Consider avoiding Gas as it is a fossil fuel and harder to integrate in a DER.
- 2. Stratify collector to coldest load and use collector glycol return directly to load.
- 3. Strive to increase occupancy over 5 people per 3,500 SF, work with 2 family units as this is more efficient.
- 4. Consider dedicated heating distribution that is not a part of the HRV system. While these systems will lower costs and eliminate heating and cooling ductwork with mini-splits, better flow requires sacrifice of privacy.
- 5. Make solar bigger particularly thermal. Don't let PV dominate, consider Hybrid systems like Sundrum.
- 6. Big incentives ultimately tend to distort process as they tend not to focus on long term goals and may not exist thru construction never mind life of project.

The kitchen is the hub of this home, with pass thru to open living / dining and music room beyond.





<image><text>

The owners, a young family of five, are thrilled with the building and doing their part to make a greener world, while creating a beautiful home and investment strategy that is "consistently comfortable."

Open Living Dining and Stair to 2nd Fl.

Music Rm at Front and east street entry





























Colonial DER: Norton, MA













Solar Array						
Total Gross Project Investment	\$	39,510.00				
Total System Size (Watts): 36 Canadian Solar 250 Watt panels		9,000	w	/ SREC's @	S	REC's Spot
Total Investment (\$/Watt DC)	s	4.39		/linimum \$²	Mar	ket (\$200.00)3
Total Rebates, Tax Credits, and Incentives	s	39,335.89	\$	33,337.39	\$	31,948.03
10 Year Energy Production Value	s	12,948.65	\$	12,948.65	\$	12,948.65
25 Year Energy Production Value	\$	34,934.86	\$	34,934.86	s	34,934.86
Total NET Cost (Savings) after 10 years	s	(12,774.54)	\$	(6,776.04)	\$	(5,386.68)
Total NET Cost (Savings) after 25 years	s	(34,760.74)	\$	(28,762.25)	\$	(27,372.89)

Concord Light Rebates		
Concord Light Rebates (\$625 / Watt AC. Max. \$3,125.00)	S	3,125.00
Commonwealth of Massachusetts Personal Ta	x Credit	
15% - Can not exceed \$1,000.00	\$	1,000.00
Federal Tax Credit		
30% - No Limit	S	10,915.50

Split Level 1979 Ranch :Concord, MA

MA Solar Renewable Energy Credits (SREC	MA Solar Renewable Energy Credits (SREC)						
SREC's Generated Per Year:		7.738		Ainimum \$2	Market (\$230.00)3		
Rebate: 2014 (@ \$375.00 per SREC) ¹	\$	2,756.66	\$	2,095.06	\$	1,690.75	
Rebate: 2015 (@ \$375.00 per SREC) ¹	S	2,756.66	\$	2,095.06	\$	1,690.75	
Rebate: 2016 (@ \$350.00 per SREC)1	\$	2,572.89	\$	2,095.06	\$	1,690.75	
Rebate: 2017 (@ \$350.00 per SREC) ¹	\$	2,572.89	\$	1,992.15	\$	1,690.75	
Rebate: 2018 (@ \$350.00 per SREC) ¹	S	2,572.89	\$	1,889.23	\$	1,690.75	
Rebate: 2019 (@ \$333.00 per SREC) ¹	\$	2,447.92	\$	1,793.67	\$	1,690.75	
Rebate: 2020 (@ \$316.00 per SREC) ¹	\$	2,322.95	\$	1,705.46	\$	1,690.75	
Rebate: 2021 (@ \$300.00 per SREC) ¹	S	2,205.33	\$	1,624.59	\$	1,690.75	
Rebate: 2022 (@ \$285.00 per SREC) ¹	\$	2,095.06	\$	1,543.73	\$	1,690.75	
Rebate: 2023 (@ \$271.00 per SREC) ¹	\$	1,992.15	\$	1,462.87	\$	1,690.75	
Total Production Incentive	\$	24,295.39	\$	18,296.89	\$	16,907.53	

¹ACP Rate Schedule from MA DOER 10-Year Forward Schedule, minus 5% Aggregation Fee. ²Minimum Standand, minus 5% Auction Fee and 5% Aggregation Fee. ³Spot Market SREC projections are based on current market value, minus 5% Aggregation Fee.













	Ν	Ionthly interior electric	al usage, kWh	550		
Heating l	Degree Days	Base 62 F set point from	m weather data	depot		
Month	HDD70	Gross heat loss, BTU/month	Electric Gains, BTU/	Solar Gains, BTU/month	Utilization factor	Net heat loss, BTU/month
Jan	1040	9,607,952	1,876,600	524,720	1.00	7,208,389
Feb	936	8,647,157	1,876,600	694,028	1.00	6,080,726
Mar	827	7,640,169	1,876,600	1,216,684	0.99	4,567,001
Apr	445	4,111,095	1,876,600	1,163,738	0.93	1,280,199
May	189	1,746,061	1,876,600	1,785,587	0.47	22,776
Jun	21	194,007	1,876,600	1,801,407	0.05	C
Jul	2	18,477	1,876,600	1,924,529	0.00	C
Aug	2	18,477	1,876,600	1,899,604	0.00	C
Sep	113	1,043,941	1,876,600	1,192,269	0.34	3,142
Oct	361	3,335,068	1,876,600	956,332	0.89	804,260
Nov	700	6,466,891	1,876,600	485,804	1.00	4,114,265
Dec	1022	9,441,660	1,876,600	585,058	1.00	6,982,196
			A	nnual Net Heat	ing Load, BTU/year	31,062,954
			A	nnual Net Heat	ing Load, kWh/vear	9.104

DEB Incentives					
Area of Incentive	SF Area	\$ / psf Incentive	incentive	Door sf	window sf
Total SF , 3,779					
1,988 2nd .1629 1st, 162 loft					
Basement Slab	1437	\$2.00	2874		
Basement Foundation Walls.					
140 S, 471 N, 99 E, 8 N	718	\$2.00	1436		
Above Grade Walls					
North Elevation	555	\$3.50			
W & D (3x14) + 22				42	22
West Elevation	537	\$3.50			
W & D (4 x 14) + 8 + 18				64	18
South Elevation	363	\$3.50			
W & D (2 x 10) + (2 x 18) + (3 x 9) + 47				83	47
East Elevation	751	\$3.50			
W &D (9 x 15) + (6 x 14) + 35 + 6 =				225	35
Sum above Grade Walls, (2,206 SF)		\$3.50	8012		
Skylights 18 + 12 + 12				414	122
Sum windows and doors	578				
Overhangs, cantilevered 2nd Floor					
37 + 45 + 209	291	\$1.50	437		
Roof	2117	\$3.00	6352		
Total Shell	7,183				
CFM 50 reduced = 4288 ???	4288	\$1.75	7504		
Total Incentive			26,615		

	Quincy Project (1907)	Milton Project (1939)	Norton Project (1995)	
# of Occupants	5 people	2 people	5 people	
Existing Conditioned Area	1,808 sf	2,305 sf	3,111 sf	
Added Non Basement Space	1,752 sf	559 sf	0 sf	
Renovated Basement	838 sf	688 sf	1,386 sf	
New Proposed Total	3,560 sf 2,864 sf		4,497 sf	
Innitial 6 sided envelope	5,388 sf	5,177 sf	7,533 sf	
New Proposed 6 sided envelope	6,773 sf	6,743 sf	9,281 sf	
Total DER Project Costs	\$550,000	\$370,000	\$237,000	
	& new mechanicals	existing recent mechanicals	& new mechanicals	
Total Non DER Project Costs	\$450,000	\$326,000	\$162,000	
	& new mechanicals	existing recent mechanicals	& new mechanicals	
Added DER Investment	\$80,000	\$44,000	\$75,000	
DER and Additional Incentives	\$52,000	\$42,000	\$42,000	
Added DER Costs - Incentives	\$33,000	\$2,000	\$33,000	
Annual Energy Use as a Code House or Existing	\$5,700	\$3,800	\$6,200	
Annual Engravery DEP, 10 KBI Jun a Sal ar 20 KBI Jun Sal	assume 54 KBTU/st/yr	assume 45 KBTU/st/yr	assume /6 KBIU/st/yr	
Annual Energy W/ DER, 10 KBO W.0 Sol, or 20 KBO W Sol	\$1,750	\$2,500	\$1,950	
Annuar Energy Savings	\$ 33 000 / \$3 950 = 8 4	\$2 000 / \$1 760= 1 14	\$ 33 000 / \$4 250 = 7 76	
Payback Simple ROI, w/ out interest	8.4 years	1.14 Years	7.76 years	
	11.6 x \$3,950	18.86 x 1,760	12.24 x \$4,250	
20 YR Investment w/ no Interest or Energy Costs Increase	\$45,820	\$33,193	\$52,020	
Add increase in Property Value w out appreciation	\$400,000	\$300,000	\$200,000	

	Quincy Project (1907)	Concord Project (1979)	Milton Project (1939)	Norton Project (1995)
# Of Occupants	5 People	4 People	2 People	5 People
Existing Conditioned Area	1,808 sf	3,779 sf	2,305 sf	3,111 sf
Addition, Non Basement Space	1,752 sf	0 sf	559 sf	0 sf
Renovated Basement	838 sf	1,752 sf	688 sf	1,386 sf
New Proposed Total	3,560 sf	3,779 sf	2,864 sf	4,497 sf
Shell Area Existing CFM-50/ SF Shell area, existing	5,388 sf	7,000 sf	5,177 sf	7,533 sf
Shell Area Proposed CFM-50/ SF Shell area, proposed	6,376 sf 0.1194	7,186 sf 0.1194	6,743 sf	9,281 sf
Total DER Project Costs	\$550,000	??????	\$370,000	\$240,000
Total Non - DER Costs	W Solar			
Added DER Investment	\$80,000	??????	\$44,000	\$75,000
DER and added Incentives	\$52,000		\$42,000	\$42,000
Annual Energy Costs as Code / Existing	\$5,700	\$5,800		
Added Energy Costs as DER				
Annual Energy Savings	\$3,950	\$3,720	\$1,760	\$4,250
Payback, Simple ROI w/ out Interest				
20 Yr. Invest. w/ no Interest or Energy Increase		20 x 3,720 = \$74,400		
Additional Property Value with out Appreciation		\$200,000		

Boston Deep Energy Retrofits @3 Scales (w/goal of 80% reduction by 2050)





Quincy DER, 85% savings over typical home and 34 MM BTU/ YR with EUR @1.7 BTU/SF /DD/ YR.





Boston South End, 192 unit apartment building with energy savings of 72% and 10,791 MM BTU/ YR with new EUR of 3.45 BTU/SF /DD/ YR.



Greening of Boston City Hall, modeled for energy savings of 75% & 64,640 MM BTU/ YR, EUR dropping from 23.5 to 5.6 BTU/SF /DD/ YR. <u>How do we achieve DER</u>'s for the vast majority of existing buildings w/ limits to green opportunities?

Wetland Restoration

New York City





1

COMMUTER GATEWA

Seawall & wetland restoration projects show that systems can protect dense urban populations & MOMA. Rising Currents infrastructure from storm surges & floods.

St. Petersburg

Antonio Di Mambro & Associates

Vision for Defending Boston from the Sea

EAST BOSTON

DOWNTO

SOUTH BOSTO

defen

Dr. Anamarija Frankic **Biomimicry and Oysters** Green Harbors Project, U MASS Boston Thank-you . Questions ??

Henry MacLean Timeless Architecture Milton, MA

Timearch.com

Deep Energy Retrofits: Full Value Proposition, NESEA BE-14